

State/US/NATO/military/JCS (Chiefs of Staff), Castro...
blindness to HORROR of "more stalemate,
"stability,"
"the horror" FU thinks...
Entry to the hinter
implying to what?

Am JC on BUTLER

12-28-11

Det. of me that (to do evil)

|| clear increases the prob. of doing evil
in some cases

(which I aim to make less
likely than is absence of threat
(does it?)*

vs. SDI's "impenetrability"
JC 392

but cannot make impossible.

* What if alternatives: that make prob. less
likely ^{overall} — on balance —
(less with, impossible) without
making it more likely [if us] in any
cases?

Does this acc. make it more likely
by them? (Notge, JCS)

|| R as "possibilist" — like Kappé
(a "positive thinker")

"Yes we can" — find a way (scientists, MP-II)
to build on impenetrable SDI:

[miss, in Afghan, VN

(penail in VN? Not impossible

— through 1976...

12-28-11

JK 331 Kaniel, March 69

SU going for [O-L, CF hypothesis,
or imitation of US ("point"!)]

must "tho had abandoned

the new balance of deterrence"

(strategic det - which we
never really tried at)

in favor of FS cap, disarming ("Anti")

(ignoring SCBM on both sides!)

(MRVs for SS-9

Dec. 1968

(actually, MRVs?)

and

12-28-11

How about attack on Iran?

Plledge of Resistance? (JC 402 - 70,000 in 1984)

TDA?!

R violated law (Balard Amendment: Oct 12, 1984)

decision to trade and for hostages, drink
feds to centers: Dec 7, 1985

North plus
for camps?

exposed, fall '86

JC 403

Feb 26-87
Tower Report

for '87 - R implicated

Feb 28-87 INF proposal

"didn't know

didn't mention"

March 3 - accepts

March 4-87 - he

G INF offer

"confirms"

Dec 8-87 signing of INF, DC

R in danger of impeachment, like N! Dec 7-88 - UN speech,
TANKS

G offered his escape - if challenges on arms?

Freeze
(not CD?)

→ SDI... 1983

~~1983-88~~

(March:

Harris Harris

SDI, May 4, 83)

[Saturday CD → Balard → R crisis to continue
(not Freeze!) war (like N vs. me!)
→ exposed → risk of impeachment

Harbinger problem: How to end race
despite R build-up, which (naturally)
strengthened SU banks: JC 405

[? did my danks say, "We can't
afford it"? Other Hold - arms for
Europe?]

THE ANSWER:

NWOT

(despite Putin, based on 6's domestic
lemon
gaskets.

Barbarian = "uncivilized"

compared to ... Greeks, Roman empires!

practices of ... (massacre, slavery)

Butler: STOP "barbaric"

Booke HAK 1957 ~~Can~~

Schelling ~~Schelling~~

(WSEC: 20,000 ...)

WSIG CRIT network

~~and other~~ Bindys Bundy McB + BP
Notes?

Have species (say SC + K Hanks)

Cornell House of War

5 part + presents (later) invade each other,
eliminate each other:

1962 - 1964 (TG)

see "contingency plan" (for invasion
preservation or attack)

De Bato

pol. issue (Hollander, US)

delegation issue

8. Was it the UCS → SU occupation of East Germany
that led Khrushchev to accept FU plans?

(like Castro, 1962)

WHAT DID?

(after miffing S.B.?)

WJ did Khrushchev (Lushin?) call for UCS?

UCS → "Hitler must go"

Nazi regime must go" (see Stohr & associates

50,000

(see Polansky)

(1)

Council
me:

Stability

Run

Wed
Dec. 14, 2011

John Rabel

Stability was neither the aim or the
effect of an arms weapons policy

with no real stability ^{un-considered}
or crisis stability ^{or understood for}
(FO/PS have been less understandable or highly unlikely ^{un-recommended})

(Both are less than of PS/

which promotes promptness position + alert
and inability to follow along
on both sides

1) This presents the chance of an accident
(UA, false alarm) DM on both sides

(Neither ended at a DM, or know it but it
but that is what each brought;
and they have maintained it since knowing
what it was.; and after the war for

~~an~~ on each PS has disappeared (20 years ago)

(Neither will want to give up C&D-L

Rumfeld?

- could have been done under (G&WB?), Clinton and
BHO? (see Clinton) ^{Holmes?}

Panel?

maintaining stability - in case it's needed again

- for some reason: ("better than
the first time")

tailrace of

S.B. WWI

freiburg

and A-bomb (Riedel?)

ca. 10, 30

10/10

In 1964--65

The two strategic forces became
D.M.'s in 3 ways:

1) each triggering the other, by launching

2) each a D.M. of itself.

(US had been one since? 1956? 1957?)

actually 1959-62

3) (also: existence of each (esp. on hair-trigger,
with fallible warning system,
each vulnerable and fast-acting
about

encourages a false alarm

triggering of the other —

and makes the (false) alarm plausible

(it may be reacting to a false alarm —
as SU almost did in 1983

(or to UA (if SU saws, Auto AA, U-2, re
SU too aware) in 1962

(either might have launched FS;
warning (Moostern) could be there.)

(4) and prolif. is encouraged: catastrophe worse
(e.g. decomp. of UK, France, Castro...)

vs Schell: Wanted any means of the species
act differently (in plans, movement,
threats, about, execution)
to prospect of 100M dead

or "all north hemisphere"

or "all life" ?

or between 100M (HK)

and 10-20-40 M ? (WWII)

1M?

100,000 ? (Japan in Okinawa; + 150K criss)

RAF, WWII: 47K in Berlin Command

AAF -

52K in Eux. (+ 36K in non-combat?)

~ US in VN

> US in Korea

> 300 M - you are talking about deaths beyond
our nation's borders: yours
allies'
enemies!
(do you care?)

527h

Does 4-bank require a higher morality than freedom ?
give hypermoralism, new level of coercive force
(see "Better Angels" vs. (?) Horrible Things
Pavlov)

Carroll 35 1989 - US gives an unexpected chance to live without
on enemy.
an enemy that justifies "all means necessary" ^{NO CONSTRAINT} "OTHER"

[Jan 1961 - likewise - without an enemy that justified
compelled - and thereby justified -

but: Berlin
Cuba (1962!)
by supposedly threatening FS of a SOP
or invasion of Europe*

Holocaust, Gethsemane, Bar Bar

Mengesha's exercise, arrows

C-II

encouraging "2d-stroke DL"

or

then: 1948

(JFK Red Mississippi)

R in C-II - and (K)

Malice? (JFK?)

* see what "invasion of Cuba" justified
to Castro!

or invasion of Berlin

(→ "accommodation to SU" of Europe)

Trade with SU,
United Korea?

"accommodation of SEA to China
(+ Japan)

(by proposed NSM & HAK, 1969)

1963?

(but JFK + K removed;

US + SU accommodation to MICs

approach of "

VN; again, MIRVs, tanks...

What scholars + Exon did not know about

C-II (from K to SEA to NUN! - JFK et al

did know! How refuted in choices? (JFK to!

Cenall & 9-11-¹⁹06 - joint oath (commitment) to NVR -
disobedience

(w/ treaty "Apartheid" in South Africa as 2nd-class citizens)

Could OWS go this route?

Distinction to ...

no second-class citizenship for

ME, Muslims

Blacks, Caribbeans (Chamian, Papuan)

"suspects" (guilt assumption)

white police officers

error rate

ambiguity

37: Growth & scale of war needs has got to be explained, as understood

(Hence, likewise, failure to balance - balance - balance)
1500

37 What is (base of) power over Presidents
of military (not absolute; but...) during
"crises" (LBJ-~~AAA~~; JFK/VN; JFK/Cuba)
(Mid. potential coming from from attack...)
JFK " C-I, C-II for sec.
LBJ " VN " "

37 Ammit was as a source of identity - at ^{proport}
(formal! Spontaneous?)
Preparations (pre-WWI) (after WWI)
Permanence of 1) war (Co. Gen. Paul,)
2) crises OWS

(3

me
Smith

April 7, 1931

1961 - BNSP

(on JC's 30th, Jan 23, 1973:

lost US frame troops withdrawn?
(file?)

CBI chief

Roe v. Wade

45 Cowart, as catalyst of Shivers article ^{CONSECUTING} the Bank
+ McG B (me, 1961; 1964 - Stang

us. Henry
(me/Deal)

46: Cowart: Chief of a
city.

1965 - P. Linder 1965: "aftermath 100K"

- NEU, ...

McN - Tokyo

Atlanta, Am. Bureau,

Kelly

NATO

Oct '66

PP ...

(Frost lie: "H was a hanging unborn target"

1st + Shivers covered ...

2d (Shivers, 1946): It was (acc.) a civ target,
terror - but acc. to save lives, C.E."

Weil

WWI = any modern nations (except US)

a generation of young men died

"carnival of violence" 1914

NO - but "sacred" ritual

modernized

DEC-14-11

UN-COERCIBLE (un-determinable)

JCYS: Jap. troops on Okinawa April-June 45

(Korean, facing US! under Heth
Stalin
Lincoln)

(Jap. Army leaders — without coming for
them!)

NLF/NVA (PAVN) ! (McN & JC)

Castro, C-IT! (Cuban)

(Germans, under Heth)

if believed

stay of Hiss (vs. invasion)

(or probably: like Tom Powers
SO:

(see Barbo's hint use: vs. Japanese (threat)

AND SU: Aug '45

(VC 47

and to forestall SU role
is "Pearl Threat")

Bols offered dominance

hopefully - roll-back (near actual)

East Europe

China

Indonesia

but countered by conventional force

but for both sides:

Prevented external force
from supporting / joining internal
revolts at periphery of empires

Poland, Hungary, Czech, East Germany

Each fears (not unwounded attack on its
homeland but) erosion of its dominance,
degrading, sphere, empire
at its edges

of external support, encouragement,
intervention, is "internal" result of
cross-citizens at its periphery

This is to deterred - by arms EU, arms etc

(or of Soviet Empire, conventional force)

US: arms EU role ("defence of West Europe - exeg. thence)

is expense for ^{West} arming Germany
(for even nervous; not to keep divided)
and to make NATO last feasible, with
US troops and bases:
a jigsaw puzzle, house of cards)

SU had to face: Germany (with bases
not in NATO
but even in NATO)

intending in East German Revolt
(or desire to join West)

US didn't fear revolt in West Europe
(though, loss of Berlin — jigsaw collapse)

Ints: VN (dem pol — after "loss of China")

SUN

So Korea (after Korean War)

Haiti/Algeria/El Sal

Cuba!

Iran

Brazil, Chile, ...

Yugoslavia?

Thailand?

Turkey?

would be dangerous
SU/c support to revolt,
or intervention vs.

US repression

X And to use bases (aimed to hold on to West
Germany? 1945-48-58-61-62-65
for dominance in "Free World"
(up to Sov/c border) inside US-dominated NATO

based on new FO/FS
conflicted with/based: int. control/police/force/revolution
stability/own control/NATO...

67: Delegation of pres. bst and CINCS (to House;
is FU to... House...
Wf?

Dominant weapon as monopoly

as (more) (but meaning) intimidating

it is (only) a weapon for threatening

of weapons
makers
display of risks

What if
there's not
substantial
penetration
monopoly of
for 4 years

|| (With monopoly, threat was credible
of non-monopoly see Tokyo!
hst

But even in 1945-48: counter-threat of
SU non-army any in Europe

so not, roll-back

or "absolute weapon"

co. SU or
close ally;

Threat of a desperate gamble
temptation - (false alarm; imminent loss of
a regional conflict?)
in Europe

less desperate, co. SU ally in perplex

geography: General Goring in World
(Carnegie & C 71)
Allis Manning; Spears

if US "imposing": lose domain

Hyphs Whereas mid, may have always wanted
EU as an "option" for downing,

Presidents have been specific, s-r "used" for
it:

Look at EU threats, history.

(1954-60: VN; UK; Kuwait; Korea)

"We can't
give up EU"

In 1961 — Berlin

In 1989-91 what? frag? (CW, BW)

1969-72 VN

from? " "

(1948-Berlin?)

Q: How did R's pressure on Berlin 1958-62

relate to his fear of human areas?

(see TR, Charlton, Henthoff)

Wanted takeover of Berlin → human areas?

me -

LeMay

(+ MCR - Tokyo)

+ Kogon 1961

marriage 1970

Dec. 15-2011
(5)

(JC House of War - center
(see last page)

Center, from Jan 20, 1977

"And we will move this year
toward our ultimate goal - the elimination of
all nuclear weapons from this earth. We urge
all other people to join us, for peace, not
life without of death."

(Dec. 76 - proposed reduction of bases
to 200 to JCS) - from 27 canals B-1 - proposed ^{drop into} _{world}

Relieved of both JCS & Congress & lower
JC for Trident (MIRV), CM (Tosobank)
Ing - announces N-bank

1979 begins SACT-II, but 1 month later MX (12 MIRV)
now 479, from doctors (8 each!)

Dec. 2, NATO: 108 P-II, 464 GLCMs

Dec. 25 - 50 minutes Officer (Ry - Center!)

for 3-80 withdrawing SACT-II from Senate

ford 3 - State of Union - Center Doctors (Olympics?)

(POA, Waves, Central Command, EU)

-1991 Gulf War

April 28 - Doctors and - 2003 Iraq -

ECR campaign

(+ and and R)

372

PO-59

SIOF from 1700 targets (2) in 1970

to 7000 in 1980; bullet's come for

5774 bullet's

Ans new? democracy, human rights (Bill of Rights)
(social justice) at home

(Reply: Justice Party.
Rule of Law; Bill of Rights)

386: P-II + GLOMs deployed in 1981? as 8000
! approved Dec. 12, 1979
after 4 and others blocked N-bank 1978
(proposal of Carter 1977)

Finger in Cyprus: March 82

US and (SU) R all — as was seen in 1981 —
"morally equal — as threats to
human survival."

(yes, US had very — in (allied) form —
but SU followed in human
(what?) form

My Sp: SU from of Norfolk —
East Sea, esp. Thames, joint of
Windsor Port;

(see JSCP)

(389)

SU was not the (March ⁸ 1983

focus of smile in the modern world."

(3)
1983

Forgot: lunch

(394)

VC Hst did make the crucial decision
(following Byrnes & Brown) that make
use of Bomb inevitable

("unless Japanese surrendered" - Postulate
- that rescheduled Japanese surrender
before Aug. 8 (SU entry) - with Bomb scheduled
for Aug 3 or as soon as possible (availability, weather)
after Aug 3 (before Aug 8-15 - SU entry)

- NO offer of Empire

(telling honesty of what was

with the

acceptable to us - to get agreement

being the current
what "UCS" - no turn -

without carrying out our threat
(over-ult; secret ult or deadline)

would mean -

if we wanted to avoid carrying out

right (will) mean

threat [Hst & Byrnes did out; who

trying (& speculating!)

did, besides Booth? (Holley? Stimson?)

Empire (as well

+ physicists)

as any generals)

NO deals (Haines' office
surprise!

Shock & awe

NO warning of low entry!

With their initial out - who would have
opposed Bomb?

(Byrnes Aug - "let low entry, & see"?)

see JC 112, Stinson

(JC 89-90)

A - side Stinson, Dyson -
behind - front War

Retard bombing of cities (+ USAF!

↓ chose USMC?
Pali?

but forced agally participation in planning
it

for "absolute necessity" (of threat

rejected for Japan!

(Scientists
Banal

(all needed in
over-zero - our game,
to avoid coming
out there - usult

as, for S.B., Germany
Japan

as, by K in C-II!
(too much

as, delegation

(vs. death, FS
of energy?)

as, SH / Gulf War

as, NATO / German trigger

Monday Dec. 12-11

or Homer?

Did Byrnes get anything from SO
by being the Bank in his pocket?
(Stein: VC 114)

i.e. from Nürnberg, May?

from reputation of
offer

(except suspicion
of our land!

by land right)

i.e. did Bank offer CW revolution
in Europe?

at all?

(or China?)

Alphons
Brid
Kugard

Did Alphons discovery deliver on any
of its promise?

McK

*

Any more than Rolling Thunder?

*

(VCS; WVR; MCH (BILL BUNDY WAS
RIGHT? Oct 65)

(What would TCS have said, in

✓?

spring '45? pub-Report?

March '45? (Jones)

fall '45?

JC(Carnell) Chung: If there is a 1% chance of a 50
273 Power: surprise attack (not many, "if inevitable")

then we should act as if certain:
"strike first"

^{1%}
(chance of terror attack —
how do you act (as if it's certain))

do everything you want to do, (that "might
help") — but strong
suspense Constitution

JFK moral courage:

NO — C-I

9

— Laos

— VN troops

—

IC: 310

McN's mount of truth

Aug 25-67

Spangier said: if McN is right — we
should get out.

McN should have said this in Nov '61
to Pres — and Public — or Jan '62:

He did say it in May 1967 (and Nov 67)

and he could have told this to Stennis

(or Fulbright) that day

given the dis

(maybe as Tat Offensive

instead, he lied — deliberate disingenuous
with JCS (and the backed off from resignation
(though — what if he had said that?

Would he have wanted
to resign?

Not out — not continue Stennis

~~In 1945~~ Ignoring effect on ~~the~~ Europe

(only Ridge considered this! in Sept 61, JC5607)

tho a prompt CF, no-cities strike like this was "national" only - at most - to prevent: NOT, EVER, to
"prevent" SU non-ave invasion of Europe or Berlin!

(No-cities could help D-L only if SU were
told of it - and if were! while, deliberation
got - would th be inclined to adopt it?
That is deliberate policy.

But is plans? Thus the actual forces, NO!

(I didn't know; I thought

of superior SU forces!

& "national" SU FS!

yet, deliberately batt. cities would no same
mean any cities

(except for letting / Power!)

(But no-cities discouraged FS plans / forces + B-70!)

(really based on opposite: drop!)

Strategy (5)

Dec. 14

Not too much mechanical or rigid
the secret framework of policy:

which

- (2) monitor's poss. of DM triggering
- (b) promotes US leadership from anti-Comm
- c) sets example of FC (D-C?)
WG deals Pak of
"final" and
Archives of weapons
- d) promotes peace — with US threat*
(NK (South Korea? Taiwan?))
Iran Iraq Libya

* of non-use attack — always the main
or FC "use" of arms:

(US FC or FS don't don't
propose to initiate conflict:
but to deter non-use
"intention" as US or
allied powers: as in NATO
or Europe

Stability (3)

Dec. 14-2011

1. You can't discourage the
acquisition of arms (and some stability)
while threatening RU, FS
or non-use aggression

2. You can't discourage decision to
pursue while deploying FS-only powers
(unbreakable, CF - close, front, oceanic, large)
CMM
+ making FS/FU threats.

3. announcing a no-city strategy
vs. not announcing it.
(or announcing MAD - but mostly
maintaining FS - was McN Btlg
SU, "don't bother to avoid cities"?
thing up on coercive strategy
- without NFO or min det

so N Board members could all
rely on FU threats.

(It's not that every power uses, in his own
mind, ready to use "nuc option"
but, think?

"parity" ≠ stability! JC 353 12-15-11 (1)

Vladimir, Nov 74

(not "one step" — Nife Nigro, saying the
resulted from WE — i.e. we.)

like Jackson, Perle, JCS, Sec Def Schles

"Parity" (non-suff), dilemma (der lin'ed from
human rights, (forced) emigration, disintents,
was "ethical relativism" (i.e. "equality"/parity
ethically, which "entitled" SU to parity in weapons:
US not "morally superior", not "exceptional")

i.e. superior + entitled (to our sup,
EU, FS, oppression...) (logical "rule of law") :

359

Nife Nigro CPD as Schles in final part 1975
closing SU (secret) desire for superiority,
another "window of vulnerability" (SU FS!

ignoring SLBMs:

the real stability since
1964.

359

SU "ontologically and morally different from us"
[see Dr. Kelf, K4C.007] is the reasoning for FS,
at whatever "cost in human life."

368

576n

AWW, Nigro

Perle 383

58M

[Demagogues: yet, "prohibition" of US
will to maintain DM — both were entering
("equality": neither, in non-crisis, but...)]

VC 300 CPD crew can Nitte & Ford/
 y. Korea Reinfeld/Cheng: "Never Again"
 (Loss of VN — without Nees?
 Congress' final-cut-off!)

300 ^{again} ~~Reinfeld~~ equivalent of initial
pure monopoly: global hegemony
 ("except for USSR"!)
 (after 1929: no exemption!)
 Atomic deficiency at last intensions:
 on basis of inferiority

(What did they think should have been
 done in VN?)

Explicitly, Nees?

If not, what? VCS hitting? When?

Nees vs. China? 500K-1M men?

Lincoln of NVN

frame: ^{should} do Nees only "deton Nees war
 or also) "deton war, non-Nees "use"
 of other, of threatening EU?

(as WS and all but SU NWS
 China?
bulime — rightly!)

or (C) also deton Nees retal —

2d use, Nees — of threatening
 FS

and (d) "trial danger" in all-out war
 (Pol, honor)
 vs. opponent

2009
1973
26

(3)

- AWW: always thought SU threat was more
grave than CIA thought. 575 VC

(I think risk of "accidental" (better word?)
is greater than worst thing
(except Saigon, Blair)

363 VC

Ask Carter - What happened to his
long interest to go for him det?
200?

576a Benjamin: When were Heisler and Nagasaki
documented? (2 cities in papers: right hand
on Kyoto; Nagasaki; Kobe)

Ruttenberg

Wormon

Blitz?

Bombing?

Marshall News 1941

Billy Mitchell 1928? If war with Japan.

Casablanca 1943 (Pantblack)

Harley 45;

Feb 45 - Berlin, Dresden

June '42 - MP (Feb 43) Dec. 42 -

May - ... registration of French Report Chicago
System

If - Registration of offer to Surrender (Potomac
July 45)

12-15-71
(4)

JFK?!

for 1977
for 2009

VC 366 Carter called for elimination of
nuclear weapons on earth, frag. Coldness
28 years before BHO
(7 times)

368- ^{+front} HAK ^{front Ref to moderate} was a moderate (on one central, UN!)
compared to Rubins who came after Forest
(along with one-cous Dem ^{CPD} - also
being reversible for UN (incl SALT!)

N was to left of Dem therefore (on domestic;
+ one central)

(X)

373 Carter signed - understated, misunderstood - elite, DDC
-74 (Dem: DDC!) attached not af to CW but to
DM's (US public good, reverses, threats: some pol,
not see civilian maintenance, DDC
ON BOTH SIDES (incl others to come:
Pac, peace, peace (then)

abundance
for (CN
(all participants
and)

World warlike CD have failed against Hitler
Himmler: 1933-34? (CP + Soc Dem, various + friends)
an official relation? (maybe - 1933, various?) paper,
1932-33? (Brodie) 577 or JC

Carter

(366) like VC = 1976, "I (+ others!)" define our government's
like John P. ... like OWS

commitment to the basic principles of our nation, for we
know that if...
Protect - NV CD - commitment